

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** SizewellC  
**Subject:** Re: EN010012 - The Sizewell C Project  
**Date:** 27 October 2020 08:47:02

---

Many thanks for highlighting the serious omissions in the Sizewell C project particularly related to the geology, flood risk and size of site. Have also noted an Essex council concern regarding Aggregates supply for the whole project which appears to be poorly evidenced. Still struggling to find a properly marked up fully OS grid referenced scaled drawing of the main development site as it sits adjacent to Sizewell B. Please note I am waiting for Ordnance Survey to reply to a concern that on a key drawing supplied as part of the Office of Nuclear Regulation Site Licence application where one OS grid line appears out of position which surely raises questions about the accuracy of plans per se.

Regards Mike

Sent from [Outlook](#)

---

**From:** SizewellC <sizewellc@planninginspectorate.gov.uk>

**Sent:** 23 October 2020 16:18

**To:** [REDACTED]

**Subject:** EN010012 - The Sizewell C Project

Dear Sir/Madam

**EN010012 – The Sizewell C Project**

Your reference: 20025871

Please follow the links below to view letters from the Examining Authority to the Applicant for your information.

Procedural decision during Pre-examination stage requesting updated information:

<https://infrastructure.planninginspectorate.gov.uk/wp-content/ipc/uploads/projects/EN010012/EN010012-002496-Pre%20Exam%20PD1.pdf>

Response to the notice by the Applicant of intention to submit a request for changes to the application:

<https://infrastructure.planninginspectorate.gov.uk/wp-content/ipc/uploads/projects/EN010012/EN010012-002499-Sizewell%20change%20request%20PD2.pdf>

You can also view the letters on the Project page of the National Infrastructure Planning website under the Documents tab:

<https://infrastructure.planninginspectorate.gov.uk/projects/eastern/the-sizewell-c-project/?ipcsection=docs>

Yours faithfully

Sizewell C Case Team

National Infrastructure Planning

Helpline: 0303 444 5000

Email: [SizewellC@planninginspectorate.gov.uk](mailto:SizewellC@planninginspectorate.gov.uk)

Web: <https://infrastructure.planninginspectorate.gov.uk/> (National Infrastructure Planning)

Web: [www.gov.uk/government/organisations/planning-inspectorate](http://www.gov.uk/government/organisations/planning-inspectorate) (The Planning Inspectorate)

Twitter: @PINSgov

This communication does not constitute legal advice.

Please view our [Privacy Notice](#) before sending information to the Planning Inspectorate.



*Please note that the contents of this email and any attachments are privileged and/or confidential and intended solely for the use of the intended recipient. If you are not the intended recipient of this email and its attachments, you must take no action based upon them, nor must you copy or show them to anyone. Please contact the sender if you believe you have received this email in error and then delete this email from your system.*

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [Contact@onr.gov.uk](mailto:Contact@onr.gov.uk); [Adrienne Kelbie](#); [Katie.Day@onr.gov.uk](mailto:Katie.Day@onr.gov.uk)  
**Cc:** [SizewellC](#)  
**Subject:** Call for Sizewell B licence review and planning condition review  
**Date:** 18 November 2020 11:50:56  
**Attachments:** [Nuclear Site licence.odt](#)

---

Hello, The time appears right to ask for a site licence and planning condition review for Sizewell B necessitated by the proposed plans for Sizewell C ,which are now being reconsulted on as of today. This reconsultation may worsen the situation for Sizewell B. My attachment is I hope self explanatory. I have copied this to the Planning Inspectorate for information particularly as some of the original planning conditions may be of relevance. I will be responding later to the Sizewell C site licence request made by EDF a transcipt of which you kindly supplied to a recent EA/ONR local forum.  
Kind regards Mike Taylor

Sent from [Outlook](#)

---



Virus-free. [www.avast.com](http://www.avast.com)

## Call for review of Nuclear Site licence for Sizewell B allied to review of the SZB original planning consent.

Note. Sizewell C is probably the only proposed new build project anticipated to be built directly alongside a live reactor site. Sizewell B PWR is 25 years old and has a 2035 shut down date as currently proposed but with a life extension anticipated. There is no recognition of this situation in the current policy EN6. The IAEA Siting standard simply implies that existing regulated standards must be maintained. It appears perverse therefore that a site licence for SZC is applied for without addressing, quite clearly, the fact that operation of Sizewell B could be compromised by construction of Sizewell C. Also the original Planning Consent from the Secretary of State for Sizewell B (termed as an extension to Sizewell A) consented to CEGB on 12<sup>th</sup> March 1987 needs urgent review to ensure that planning conditions are adhered to; including 3(iii) the landscape plan for the whole site including management of the **existing** and new planted areas, and 3(vi) No permanent structures east of the green line, and 3(viii) Construction and Operational noise limits measured at the location TM465636.

The Computer Generated Images for Sizewell C appear to show landscaping in areas like the SSSI.

Planning consent for Sizewell B as issued to Sizewell Stakeholder Group.

<https://community.magnoxsocioeconomic.com/wp-content/uploads/2014/10/EDF-Energy-SSG-Actions-Nov-2014-Attachment-No.-1-2014.pdf>

Issues for the site licence review could include:-

- Part of B site licenced boundary appears to be on the proposed C site.
- Flood risk to workers using the existing site entrance road is noted in the EA responses and the Sizewell B relocation plans. It is assumed that this site entrance will have to be used for a number of years by SZC construction staff. The Northern mound and other sea defences will be compromised. Have EDF carried out all Japanese Earthquake Response (JER) issues for SZB, including flood doors.
- Town water supply to SZB for emergencies may be compromised as the Town Water supply for SZC is not yet clear.
- Risk to cooling water system of SZB due to dredging and erosion for jetty.
- Safety of cranes, risk of toppling, operational safety and threat from damage to live grid lines.
- Excess power on National Grid leading to grid instability including from all wind farms.
- Security threat.
- Vibration and noise.
- Excess hydrocarbon/methane emissions from construction site.
- Existing radioactive and other harmful emissions threat to SZC workforce. Anecdotal evidence of harm from SZA emissions to SZB workers during construction of SZB.
- Risk to foundation and curtain wall of SZB.
- The already flawed SZB emergency plan needs further study and consultation.
- Flood risk to SZB due to different platform height of SZC.
- Wider climate risk to SZB.
- Co-operation between site operators and potential clash of interest because EDF are not the major developer of SZC.

**Conclusion.** A review of the Sizewell B site licence by ONR and Planning consent for Sizewell B by PINS or ESC is urgently required. Advise PINS of these issues and question how they may impact on DCO. *Mike Taylor c*  
18th November 2020

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** SizewellC  
**Subject:** Planning consent for Sizewell B relocation  
**Date:** 20 November 2020 12:18:05

---

Dear Michele, you may by now have been copied in to a communication regarding work to be done by EDF in advance of Sizewell C to clear facilities for Sizewell B including destruction of a key landscape feature Coronation Wood. This was firstly consented under East Suffolk Council planning application DC/19/1637/FUL and recently a request to discharge condition 12 of that consent. These are on the ESC public register.

<https://publicaccess.eastsuffolk.gov.uk/online-applications/simpleSearchResults.do?action=firstPage> Many respondents to that planning application had requested that the relocation of Sizewell B facilities should be done as part of any DCO, this prematurity was further confirmed in scoping opinion responses to a request to ESC DC/20/2412/SCO. In addition today further plans have been posted which again have relevance to the Sizewell C project <https://publicaccess.eastsuffolk.gov.uk/online-applications/applicationDetails.do?keyVal=QJXP3GQX06O00&activeTab=summary> It seems to me that at least 14 issues, identified by PINS under section 88, may be affected by this new plan which if permitted would allow early works to start despite PINS being engaged in a DCO process addressing the whole site.

I also enclose a planning consent for Sizewell B granted 12th March 1987 which clearly indicates condition 3 certain landscape conditions and the need for a landscape plan for the whole site as indicated on the map. This landscape plan is to be held by the local authority which is now East Suffolk Council. Also confirms the green line regarding forward development.

I would like to draw to your attention the fact that premature destruction of Coronation Wood may conflict with any Landscape conditions which PINS may decide to place on the SZC development. It is also unclear the land ownership in this area and how Sizewell A owned by

Planning consent for Sizewell B as issued to Sizewell Stakeholder Group.

<https://community.magnoxsocioeconomic.com/wp-content/uploads/2014/10/EDF-Energy-SSG-Actions-Nov-2014-Attachment-No.-1-2014.pdf>

Please can PINS ensure if it within your powers that all planning matters related to the Sizewell C project including any relocation of Sizewell B facilities are addressed by PINS alone.

Kind regards Mike Taylor

Sent from [Outlook](#)